

## MONTANA LEGISLATIVE BRANCH

## **Legislative Fiscal Division**

Room 110 Capitol Building \* P.O. Box 201711 \* Helena, MT 59620-1711 \* (406) 444-2986 \* FAX (406) 444-3036

**Director** AMY CARLSON

DATE: May 4, 2016

TO: School Funding Commission

FROM: Robert Miller, Fiscal Analyst

RE: Fiscal Analysis, changing ANB calculations to including 19 – 21 year old students

with disabilities.

The following fiscal analysis describes the estimated fiscal impact of changing the Average Number Belonging (ANB) calculations to include 19-21 year old students with disabilities. For the purpose of this analysis, students with individual education plans are considered disabled and therefore are the basis of the calculations. The analysis includes two estimates for state general fund and local funding based on the following assumptions: 1) using the current ANB forecast to calculate amounts above current law for the 2019 biennium; and 2) using actual service levels from FY 2009.

By using the school funding model<sup>1</sup> and the Office of Public Instruction (OPI) for the 2019 biennium, the Legislative Fiscal Division (LFD) estimates an increase in current law funding of \$283,000 state general fund and \$63,000 in local mill levies. If service levels return to the same levels seen in FY 2009, there would be an increase in current law of \$879,000 in state general fund and \$223,000 in local mill levies. The incremental increase in local assistance payments for one additional student using service levels examined in this analysis is \$4,564. The tables below summarize the funding for each assumption.

Fiscal impact assuming OPI forecast of 36 eligible students				
	FY 2018	FY 2019	<u>Total</u>	
Increase in Current ANB	36	38		
Increase in Budgeted ANB <sup>a</sup>	23	38		
Local Assistance (State Funds)				
Direct State Aid	\$70,192	\$116,245	\$186,437	
Guaranteed Tax Base Aid	33,809	48,042	81,851	
Guaranteed Tax Base Aid Retirment <sup>b</sup>	4,818	6,846	11,664	
Indian Education For All	495	825	1,320	
Data for Achievement	475	791	1,266	
Total Local Assistance	\$109,789	\$172,749	\$282,538	
Local Impact	\$23,247	\$39,748	\$62,995	
Total State And Local	\$133,036	\$212,497	\$345,533	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Lower than current due to several districts using 3 year averaging in the first year

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Retirement GTB is estimated as a percentage of personal services, this assumes that the districts used in this analysis will add staff, should a district not add staff this value would be less.

Fiscal impact assuming FY 2009 levels of service, 112 eligible students				
	FY 2018	FY 2019	Total	
Increase in Current ANB	112	114		
Increase in Budgeted ANB <sup>a</sup>	84	114		
Local Assistance (State Funds)				
Direct State Aid	\$233,782	\$358,941	\$592,723	
Guaranteed Tax Base Aid	100,436	142,931	243,367	
Guaranteed Tax Base Aid Retirment <sup>b</sup>	14,312	20,368	34,680	
Indian Education For All	1,659	2,498	4,157	
Data for Achievement	1,589	2,394	3,983	
Total Local Assistance	\$351,778	\$527,132	\$878,910	
	***			
Local Impact	\$91,931	\$130,914	\$222,845	
Total State And Local	\$443,709	\$658,046	\$1,101,755	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Lower than current due to several districts using 3 year averaging in the first year

b Retirement GTB is estimated as a percentage of personal services, this assumes that the districts used in this analysis will add staff, should a district not add staff this value would be less.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> School funding model maintained jointly by LFD, OPI and Office of Budget and Program Planning

## **Assumptions**

1) In FY 2016, 19 school districts reported 36 students 19 to 21 years of age that had an individualized education plan (IEP)<sup>2</sup>. In FY 2009, 44 school districts reported 112 students 19 to 21 years of age that had an IEP.

The table below shows the trend of students over 18 years of age receiving special education services that had not yet met graduation requirements.

Age	SFY2009	SFY2010	SFY2011	SFY2012	SFY2013	SFY2014	SFY2015	SFY2016
19 Years	89	62	53	56	50	28	30	31
20 Years	19	15	23	15	16	13	6	5
21 Years	4	3	0	1	3	2	1	0
Total Students	112	80	76	72	69	43	37	36

2) The inflation<sup>3</sup> used in model were the levels established in HB 27 of the 2015 legislature and inflated at 1.37% for the first year of the 2019 biennium and 0.85% for the second year.

Components of school funding model				
1.37% in FY 2018 and 0.85% in FY 2019				
Funding Model Component FY 2018 FY 2019				
High School Per-ANB	\$7,065	\$7,125		
Indian Education for All	\$21.54	\$21.72		
Data for Achievement	\$20.64	\$20.82		
Basic Entitlement Increment HS				
High School (Each 80 ANB past 800 ANB)	\$15,000	\$15,269		
, , ,				
Direct State Aid (DSA) Percentage	44.70%	44.70%		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Two persons reported by OPI are in the Department of Corrections and were not included in this analysis.

analysis.

<sup>3</sup> Inflation was calculated as per 20-9-326, MCA using April global forecast for the July 2016 Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the calculation of the second year inflation factor (0.85%)