

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

### On an Application for an OPENCUT MINING PERMIT

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) prepared this Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with requirements of the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). An EA functions to identify, disclose, and analyze the impacts of a proposed action. This document may disclose impacts that have no legislatively required mitigation measures, or over which there is no regulatory authority.

The state law that regulates gravel mining operations in Montana is the Opencut Mining Act. This law and the rules adopted thereunder place operational guidance and limitations on a project during its lifetime, and provide for the reclamation of land affected by opencut mining operations.

Local governments and other state agencies may have authority over different resources and activities under their regulations. Approval or denial of this Opencut Application will be based on a determination of whether or not the proposed operation complies with the Opencut Mining Act and the rules adopted thereunder. The DEQ approval of this application would not relieve the operator from the obligation to comply with any other applicable federal, state, or county statutes, regulations, or ordinances. The operator is responsible for obtaining any other permits, licenses, approvals, etc. that are required for any part of the proposed operation.

**APPLICANT:** 21 Construction, Inc.

**COUNTY:** Phillips

**SITE NAME:** LaFond

**DATE:** August 2014

**LOCATION:** Section 5, T30N, R30E

**PROPOSAL:** The applicant proposes to permit a new, long-term gravel pit to mine, stockpile, and transport 80,000 cubic yards of gravel from a 6.2-acre site located 2.5 miles north of Malta, Montana. The proposal occupies a historically mined site and includes all the unreclaimed disturbance within the proposed permit boundary.

A reclamation bond would be held by DEQ to ensure that final reclamation of the site to rangeland/pasture would be completed by November 2026. This application contains all items required by the Opencut Mining Act and its implementing rules. Proponent commits to properly conducting opencut operations and would be legally bound by the permit.

<b>IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</b>	
<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
<b>1. TOPOGRAPHY, GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:</b>	<p>The site is situated among gentle ridges separated by draws oriented north/south, giving way to flatter ground to the south.</p> <p>The proposal occupies a historically mined site and includes all the unreclaimed disturbance within the proposed boundary.</p> <p>The onsite soils consist of Hillon-Joplin cobbly loams, 8 to 35 percent slopes. The operator would replace 8 inches of mine level soil, 17 inches of facility level soil, and 10 inches of mine-level overburden.</p> <p>The site receives approximately 11 to 14 inches of precipitation per year.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> An irreversible and irretrievable removal of gravel from the site would occur. A small impact to the quantity and quality of soils from salvaging, stockpiling, and resoiling activities also would occur, but this would not impair the capacity of the soils to support full reclamation. There are no unusual</p>

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
	topographic, geologic, soil, or special reclamation considerations that would prevent reclamation success.
<b>2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION</b>	<p>The site is contained between two minor ephemeral drainages with limited watershed. They dissipate onto a flat terrace south of the site. Mining would cause them to join together further north before dissipating to the south. Water would be used onsite for dust control and would be obtained from a source greater than 300 feet from the proposed permit boundary and water would not be stored onsite.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed activities would have a minimal effect on the quantity and quality of the surface and groundwater resources.</p> <p><i>Cumulative:</i> Cumulative impacts on water resources would be negligible.</p>
<b>3. AIR QUALITY</b>	<p>Air quality standards are based upon the Clean Air Act of Montana and pursuant rules and are administered by the DEQ Air Resources Management Bureau (ARMB). Its program is approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). These rules and standards are designed to be protective of human health and the environment.</p> <p>Air quality permits would be required on the processing equipment before installment. Machinery, such as generators, crushers and asphalt plants, are individually permitted for allowable emissions. Best Available Control Technology (BACT) is the usual standard applied.</p> <p>Fugitive dust is that which blows off the pit floor, stockpiles, gravel roads, farm fields, etc. It is considered to be a nuisance but not harmful to health.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Air quality standards as set by the federal government and enforced by the ARMB would allow minimal detrimental air impacts.</p>
<b>4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY</b>	<p>There are no known rare or sensitive plants or cover types present in the site area. Onsite vegetation consists of crested wheatgrass, bluebunch wheatgrass, green needlegrass, western wheatgrass, and yellow sweetclover; and provides approximately 80% cover. The vegetation would be removed as soil is stripped and the site would be replanted with plant species compatible with the proposed reclaimed use.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> No long term detrimental impacts to the vegetation would occur.</p>
<b>5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:</b>	<p>Although the area is used primarily for pasture/rangeland, it also supports populations of deer, rodents, song birds, coyotes, foxes, raptors, insects and various other animal species. Population numbers for these species are not known.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> The proposed mine is expected to temporarily displace some individual species and it is likely that the site would be re-inhabited following reclamation to similar habitat.</p>
<b>6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:</b>	<p>The Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) lists the following 7 species of concern in the vicinity of the site:</p> <p><b>Great Blue Heron</b> (<i>Ardea herodias</i>) is the largest heron in North America, 60 cm tall and 97 to 135 cm long. Its upper parts are gray, and the fore-neck is streaked with white, black, and rust-brown. Great Blue Herons breed from southern Alaska southeast across central Canada to Nova Scotia and south to</p>

**IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT**

<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
	<p>Guatemala, Belize, and the Galapagos Islands. Most Montana nesting colonies are in cottonwoods along major rivers and lakes; a smaller number occur in riparian ponderosa pines and on islands in prairie wetlands. Great Blue Herons eat mostly fish but also amphibians, invertebrates, reptiles, mammals, and birds. Disturbance by humans and loss of protected colony sites are major threats.</p> <p><b>Greater sage-grouse</b> (<i>Centrocercus urophasianus</i>) is the largest of Montana's grouse. Both sexes have relatively long, pointed tails, feathered legs, and mottled gray-brown, buff, and black plumage. In Montana, it ranges primarily in the southwestern and eastern portions of the state. This species does not migrate. Sagebrush is its preferred habitat.</p> <p><b>Bobolink</b> (<i>Dolichonyx oryzivorus</i>) is a small new world blackbird and the only member of the genus <i>Dolichonyx</i>. These birds migrate to Argentina, Bolivia and Paraguay. Bobolinks forage near the ground, and mainly eat seeds and insects. They prefer tall prairie grass and other open areas with dense grass, but can also be found in hay fields.</p> <p><b>Northern Redbelly Dace</b> (<i>Phoxinus eos</i>) is a Montana small minnow. Its maximum size is about 3 inches. The Northern Redbelly Dace is olive to dark brown above; the lower side and belly are yellow or silvery except on adult males during summer when the lower side is red. Northern Redbelly Dace are found in clear, cool, slow-flowing creeks, ponds and lakes with aquatic vegetation, including filamentous algae, and sandy or gravelly bottoms interspersed with silt. As with many small native stream fishes, Northern Redbelly Dace could be adversely affected by stream channelization, reductions to discharge, changes in water quality and temperature, and introductions of non-native predatory fishes.</p> <p><b>Pearl Dace</b> (<i>Margariscus margarita</i>) is a fish native to both the eastern and northern drainages within the glaciated plains of Montana. It has a dark back, sides that are dusky-silver, and white underside. They prefer small cool streams either clear or turbid. They eat a variety of aquatic organisms including insects, crustaceans, worms, and small fish.</p> <p><b>Iowa Darter</b> (<i>Etheostoma exile</i>) is a fish that is greenish or brownish with about eight saddle bands across the back and about nine to twelve dark blotches on the side. They range across much of south-central Canada and the north-central United States. They prefer clear slow-flowing streams with solid bottoms, although they have a wide range of tolerance for changes in water flow rates. Food consists mostly of small crustaceans and aquatic insect larvae.</p> <p><b>Sauger</b> (<i>Sander canadensis</i>) is a fish native to Montana east of the Continental Divide. It inhabits both large rivers and reservoirs, but is mainly a river fish. In the spring, sauger broadcast their spawn over riffles in rivers. Sauger are a highly prized sport fish and in some areas outside Montana are also a commercial fish. Their major food items are insects and small fish.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> None of the listed species have been found on this site. Even if suitable habitat did exist on this site, the disturbance area would be small and large areas of similar or identical habitat surrounds the site. The possible impact to these species would be minimal.</p>

<b>IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</b>	
<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
<b>7. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES</b>	<p>The Montana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) was notified of the application. SHPO's July 3, 2014 letter indicated that 2 cultural sites had previously been discovered in the designated search locale. SHPO's letter referred to a previous cultural survey done in 1995 that recommended a gravel pit proposed at that time should be redesigned to avoid these 2 sites, and SHPO re-asserted this recommendation. In response, the applicant submitted a map portraying the archaeological features described in the 1995 survey in relation to the proposed mine plan, along with explanation that the currently proposed project would not cause significant impacts to the cultural features.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> If during operations resources were to be discovered, activities would be temporarily moved to another area or halted until SHPO was contacted and the importance of the resources was determined.</p>
<b>8. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY</b>	<p>There are no unusual demands on land, water, air or energy anticipated as a result of this project.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Negligible impacts to land, water, air, or energy would occur.</p>

<b>IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION</b>	
<b>RESOURCE</b>	<b>POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES</b>
<b>9. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS</b>	<p>Phillips County zoning clearance has been obtained.</p> <p>The site is not zoned.</p>
<b>10. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING</b>	<p>As seen on the aerial photo of the surrounding area, there are no nearby residences.</p> <p><i>Impact:</i> This commercial pit is being sited in this area because of the location of the resource, and to service local construction projects.</p>
<b>11. AESTHETICS</b>	<p>The site is located in a common pastureland area. There would be a temporary alteration of aesthetics while mining is under way. However, reclamation would return the area to a visually acceptable landscape. This project is considered to be long-term, i.e., planned to take 12 years to complete.</p>
<b>12. QUANTITY/ DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT</b>	<p>Existing employees would mainly be utilized for this operation. There is low potential that this project would create a significant number of new jobs.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> New employment opportunities would be limited.</p>
<b>13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL, AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION</b>	<p>The acreage listed in the proposal would be taken out of pastureland use. Upon completion of mining, the land would be reclaimed to Rangeland/Pasture.</p> <p><i>Impacts:</i> Pastureland production would be reduced as soil stripping and operations progress across the site. When the entire site is opened up for mining and mine-related activities, all pastureland activities would cease, but would be restored as the site is reclaimed.</p>
<b>14. LOCAL, STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES, PERSONAL AND COMMUNITY INCOME</b>	<p>Local, state and federal governments would be responsible for appraising the property, setting tax rates, collecting taxes, etc., from the companies, employees, or landowners benefitting from this operation. Following reclamation, it is assumed the tax base would revert to pre-mine levels.</p>



## PRIVATE PROPERTY ASSESSMENT ACT (PPAA) CHECKLIST

DOES THE PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION HAVE TAKINGS IMPLICATIONS UNDER THE PPAA?

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	4. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? (If answer is NO, skip questions 5a and 5b and continue with question 6.)
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property?
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally? (If the answer is NO, skip questions 7a-7c)
		7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
		7b. Has the government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged, or flooded?
		7c. Has the government action diminished property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?

Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b.

If taking or damaging implications exist, the agency must comply with § 5 of the Private Property Assessment Act, to include the preparation of a taking or damaging impact assessment. Normally, the preparation of an impact assessment will require consultation with agency legal staff.

