

<b>Brand Enforcement Division Program Goal</b>		<b>Agency/Program #:</b> 5603-06-G1
		<b>Division:</b> Brand Enforcement
		<b>Program:</b>
<b>Agency Name:</b>	Department of Livestock	
<b>Agency Contact:</b>	George Harris	444-4994
<b>LFC Contact:</b>	Representative Ripley, Representative Erickson	
<b>LFD Liaison:</b>	Pam Joehler	444-2722
<b>OBPP Liaison:</b>	Shawn Graham	444-0054

**Program or Project Description:**

The Brands Enforcement Division is responsible for livestock theft investigations, stray livestock investigation, brand inspections, recording of livestock brands, filing of security interests on livestock, livestock auction licensing, livestock dealer licensing, hide inspections, and beef inspections.

Appropriation, Expenditure and Source					
Fund Name:	2008		2009		Approp & Expenditure numbers are as of April 15, 2008
	Approp.	Expended	Approp.	Expended	
General Fund	3,512				
State Special	3,080,865	2,473,120			
Federal Funds					
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$3,084,377</b>	<b>\$2,473,120</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	

**Legislative Goal(s):**

To provide to the livestock industry with professional law enforcement and investigative work in the tracking of livestock ownership and in the deterrence and/or solution of related criminal activities.

**Legislative Performance Measures :**

Livestock inspections:  
 1. Number of inspections violations discovered compared to the number of inspection violations charged

Criminal investigations:  
 2. Number of criminal activity complaints reported compared to the number of criminal activity complaints charged  
 3. Open caseload of unsolved crimes

This data is currently collected by the department on a calendar year basis. The Board of Livestock receives an annual report at its March or May board meetings.

2009 Biennium Significant Milestones:		Completion Dates	
		Target	Actual
1	The Board of Livestock receives an annual report at its March or May board meeting.		
2			
3			
4			
5			

**Agency Performance Report:**

- Livestock inspections: Number of inspections violations discovered compared to the number of inspection violations charged  
The number of Inspection violation were not determinable by our current record keeping system (this changed to accurately track this goal) we had 92,799 cattle inspected and 3,331 horses inspected resulting in very few violations in comparison (see the actual numbers below)
- Criminal investigations: Number of criminal activity complaints reported compared to the number of criminal activity complaints charged  
Again the number reported were not tracked due to our current record keeping system so cases that were considered unfounded were not tracked (this changed to accurately track this goal). We had 41 misdemeanor cases charged, 4 felony cases charged and 294 warning tickets issued
- Open caseload of unsolved crimes: 10 Felony cases are open at this time.
  - o This data is currently collected by the department on a calendar year basis.

Presently data is being collected for the report.

**LFD Narrative:**

LFD ASSESSMENT: Warning

DATA RELEVANCE: The information reported in the Agency Performance section only relates to one of the three performance measures identified earlier this year to measure progress towards this program goal.

APPROPRIATION STATUS: Appropriation and expenditure data were provided for FY 2008, but no appropriation data was provided for FY 2009.

ISSUES: The agency agreed six months ago to provide the performance measurement information as requested by the LFC. There was no indication by the agency, until now, that it was unable to provide the requested performance measurement data.

**OPTIONS:**

- 1) Dismiss from further review
- 2) Review again in October 2008
- 3) Request additional information

**POTENTIAL QUESTIONS FOR THE COMMITTEE:**

- What steps are being taken to improve progress, and when will improved results be achieved?
- Are there any potential obstacles in the near future that may change the course of this initiative or progress towards the goal?
- What happened that was not anticipated?
- Have there been any positive unintended outcomes of this situation?
- What are the low or no cost solutions to the factors impeding success?
- What is the plan for the next biennium?
- Are there items that need to be considered by the legislature for increased performance?



Version	Date	Author
	5/20/2008	Joehler

Change Description
Added LFD narrative; cut/pasted agency info from OBPP document