

**11. Option: Review MT Vets' Home Procedures to Determine Residents' Ability to Pay****DPHHS Program: Senior and Long-term Care Division****Additional research will be required to fully define and estimate costs and savings for this option****General Fund: Not yet determined****State Special Revenue: Not yet determined****Federal Special Revenue: Not yet determined****For further information see [Reference Book](#) page 31** [Hide replies](#)

- |  |                           |
|--|---------------------------|
| 1. they already payed dont you think   | Wed, Sep 8, 2010 3:08 PM  |
| 2. As a Vet, I think basing things on "ability to pay" is fair in nearly all cases, but procedures should be reviewed with that in mind regularly.   | Sat, Sep 4, 2010 11:49 AM |
| 3. Review further.   | Fri, Sep 3, 2010 3:03 PM  |
| 4. I thought the governer was not going to cut social programs ?   | Fri, Sep 3, 2010 11:02 AM |
| 5. Is our state so poor that we have to preempt the authority of local people to determine whether our veterans can afford their aged care in order to squeeze more from people who have given much already? Why isn't revenue a part of this discussion. There have to be better places to fund this from than what's proposed. | Thu, Sep 2, 2010 4:58 PM  |
| 6. No comment.   | Thu, Sep 2, 2010 4:07 PM  |
| 7. Always a good idea.   | Thu, Sep 2, 2010 11:44 AM |
| 8. Mt. vets gave earned this. They should be able to have the service even if they can't pay   | Thu, Aug 26, 2010 3:45 PM |
| 9. Agree   | Sun, Aug 22, 2010 5:06 PM |
| 10. Seems worth researching.   | Tue, Aug 10, 2010 1:04 PM |

**answered question****skipped question**