

2.

Option: Do Not Reinstate One-time Medicaid Appropriations in DPHHS Base

DPHHS Program: Health Resources Division, Senior and Long-term Care Division, Disability Services Division, Addictive and Mental Disorders Division

General Fund: \$22,000,000

State Special Revenue: \$0

Federal Special Revenue: \$46,000,000

For further information see [Reference Book page 27](#)

		Response Count
 Hide replies		23
1. Reinstate Medicaid appropriations in the DPHHS Base.	Wed, Sep 8, 2010 9:31 AM	Find...
<p>In order to continue serving at a current rate, this money is needed. The number of individuals needing these services continues, and currently there is a long waiting list. Without services or with reduced services, the most needy will likely go into crisis, ending up in jail or out-of-state placement, which will cost the State even more.</p>		
2. As mentioned above, cutting this money will result in elimination of services to children and their families. Our program will have to reduce the number of children we serve which will increase our already large waiting list for services. The children and families we serve are very high risk families. Cutting services will cause them to go into crisis mode. The result of this for many of our clients would be jail and out-of-state treatment facilities.	Wed, Sep 8, 2010 8:32 AM	Find...
3. Extremely important that we reinstate one-time Medicaid Appropriations in DPPHS Base.	Tue, Sep 7, 2010 1:21 PM	Find...
4. Without knowing how expends are going now, it is difficult to respond to this suggestion, but at least some part of it seems appropriate.	Sat, Sep 4, 2010 11:32 AM	Find...
5. keep the medicaid app.	Fri, Sep 3, 2010 10:11 PM	Find...
6. During this recession we have had continual increases in Wk Comp, Health Ins and general operating increases(such as gas). It is hard to offer the same quality supports on old rates.	Fri, Sep 3, 2010 4:35 PM	Find...
7. The increase we received in the Medicaid base rate is critical to maintaining our programs and providing quality care. Without it services erode and the quality of the services we provide suffer.	Fri, Sep 3, 2010 3:48 PM	Find...
8. I would support further research being made regarding the impact prior to making a recommendation.	Fri, Sep 3, 2010 2:09 PM	Find...
9. Once again, if you cut this money, the results will eliminate people from services, let alone deal with our huge waiting list of people waiting for services. These folks are going into crises in their families and will either be in jail or in out-of-state treatment facilities	Fri, Sep 3, 2010 11:26 AM	Find...
10. I thought the governer was not going to cut social programs ?	Fri, Sep 3, 2010 11:01 AM	Find...
25 responses per page 		
answered question		23
skipped question		146

2.

Option: Do Not Reinstate One-time Medicaid Appropriations in DPHHS Base**DPHHS Program: Health Resources Division, Senior and Long-term Care Division, Disability Services Division, Addictive and Mental Disorders Division****General Fund: \$22,000,000****State Special Revenue: \$0****Federal Special Revenue: \$46,000,000****For further information see [Reference Book](#) page 27**

11.	I don't understand why we are hurting the most vulnerable in our communities. We can't leave seniors and people with disabilities behind. Don't cut this funding.	Fri, Sep 3, 2010 9:49 AM	Find...
12.	Another poorly thought out option! I would think the ratio of federal dollars compared to general fund dollars would mean this is a "no brainer" and the funding should be maintained.	Thu, Sep 2, 2010 8:25 PM	Find...
13.	Insufficient information is the briefing book.	Thu, Sep 2, 2010 4:22 PM	Find...
14.	With the general fund savings from FMAP, the Medicaid approp should be reinstated. If the State really wants to save money, it should cancel the contract with Magellan, where \$1.5M a year gets shipped back east for "services" that are little more than roadblocks. The contract with ACS should also be cancelled and awarded to an in-state corp like Blue Cross/Blue Shield. These two companies create so many errors and great impediments to providing service and being paid for it.	Thu, Sep 2, 2010 4:07 PM	Find...
15.	See comments #1 and #4 and others in this survey.	Thu, Sep 2, 2010 12:31 PM	Find...
16.	Physical illnesses plague those with mental illness but are often overlooked/unrecognized. Medicaid payments for medications is crucial.	Thu, Sep 2, 2010 11:38 AM	Find...
17.	This would only cost Montana federal revenue that pays for jobs, that pays state taxes, and that ensures a viable service system.	Wed, Sep 1, 2010 9:13 PM	Find...
18.	One-time reinstatements seem to hurt more than help.	Thu, Aug 26, 2010 10:50 AM	Find...
19.	Please don't cut this. People actually need this. I can understand if people were not using the service, but they are! You are cutting vital services.	Thu, Aug 26, 2010 10:37 AM	Find...
20.	These appropriations are needed in order to care for these vulnerable populations	Thu, Aug 26, 2010 9:44 AM	Find...
21.	everyone needs to be accountable, even DPHHS. I am concerned not only that poor and disabled persons are not penalized for their conditions, but as a taxpayer I am also concerned about waste.	Wed, Aug 25, 2010 1:10 PM	Find...
22.	Agreed	Sun, Aug 22, 2010 4:57 PM	Find...
23.	I have life-threatening, on-the-job injuries and my employer has thrown me under the bus. If I cannot resolve this with the assistance of an attorney, I will undoubtedly be asking for state/federal assistance for medical expenses and assistance in day-to-day living expenses. For 4 deccades I was a highly productive citizen, having generated millions of dollars for the US economy. I am now being hung out to dry by a society that (apparently) sees no value in my future existence.	Tue, Aug 10, 2010 3:10 PM	Find...
24.	Must keep support on local levels. Like drop-In-Centers that have proven to cost effective and work		

25 responses per page 

answered question	23
skipped question	146