
PAGE: SECTION III: PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY
1. Option: Reduce or Eliminate General Fund Support for Food and Consumer Safety
DPHHS Program: Public Health and Safety Division
General Fund: \$1,062,660
State Special Revenue: (\$1,062,660)
Federal Special Revenue: \$0
For further information see [Reference Book](#) page 13

 Hide replies

- | | |
|---|----------------------------|
| 1. Hell no, the public needs to be protected from irresponsible companies that are cutting corners and nto complying with existing law in pursuit of PROFITS. Both state and federal governments are failing to enforce the laws in this area now as evidence by lots of news whereby meats, eggs, vegetables, etc. are being found as s ources of illness in our citezeens. I government to serve the general welfare of citizens of this nation or not? | Sat, Sep 4, 2010 11:59 AM |
| 2. Public Health and Safety services need to be strengthened not eliminated. Fees for inspections need to be increased to help support these vital functions. | Fri, Sep 3, 2010 3:03 PM |
| 3. Retain this funding. Local public health jurisdictions already bear the lion's share of costs for this underfunded mandate because license fees and state revenues do not cover the costs of licensing and inspections. We strongly recommend against any action that could cause any further shifting of work or cost to the local taxpayers or could scale back the program's funding thereby weakening the minimal safeguards needed to protect Montanans and local businesses from the illness and costs of foodborne outbreaks; especially is this time of large-scale foodborne outbreaks. Also, the Legislative Fiscal Office is examining the program costs and funding mix as a result of HB 331 testimony during the 2009 session. | Fri, Sep 3, 2010 2:50 PM |
| 4. I thought the governer was not going to cut social programs ? | Fri, Sep 3, 2010 11:03 AM |
| 5. This looks a lot like cost shifting to county health departments. | Thu, Sep 2, 2010 5:03 PM |
| 6. This seems harsh. | Thu, Sep 2, 2010 4:07 PM |
| 7. I do not support reducing funds for this. | Thu, Aug 26, 2010 10:51 AM |
| 8. Agree | Sun, Aug 22, 2010 5:07 PM |
| 9. Please consider when working with the Human Services budget the option to increase revenue instead of cutting necessary programs. To create and sustain jobs that make Montana a great place to work and live for | |

answered question
skipped question

1. Option: Reduce or Eliminate General Fund Support for Food and Consumer Safety**DPHHS Program: Public Health and Safety Division****General Fund: \$1,062,660****State Special Revenue: (\$1,062,660)****Federal Special Revenue: \$0****For further information see [Reference Book](#) page 13**

generations to come, we need to look at both sides of the budget - spending and revenue. There are many ways to raise revenue to fund important public structures and services through better collection of delinquent taxes, closing loopholes and modernizing our revenue system.
Thank you for your consideration.

answered question**skipped question**