

Public Water Supply		Agency/Program #: 5301-50-G1
		Division: _____
		Program: _____
Agency Name:	Department of Environmental Quality	
Agency Contact:	Judy Hanson	444-4632
LFC Contact:	Rep. Hollenbaugh, Sen. Ripley	
LFD Liaison:	Barb Smith	444-5347
OBPP Liaison:	James Chamberlain	444-1338

Program or Project Description:

Public Water Supply Oversight

Appropriation, Expenditure and Source					
Fund Name:	2010		2011		Approp & Expenditure numbers are as of August 30, 2010
	Approp.	Expended	Approp.	Expended	
General Fund					
State Special					
Federal Funds					
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Goal(s):

Reduce backlog of inspections, improve compliance

Performance Measures :

All PWS systems will have a current inspection and be on a 3 or 5 year schedule. The goal of reducing health based violations (Coliform/E.coli positive, Treatment Techniques, and Nitrates) is targeted for 25%. The department will implement the Groundwater Rule.

2011 Biennium Significant Milestones:	Completion Dates	
	Target	Actual
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

Performance Report:

At the end of FY2009 17% of public water systems did not have a current sanitary survey. At the end of fiscal year 2010 14% of public water supplies did not have a current sanitary survey (inspection) within the required 3 or 5 year schedule. The number of health based violations at all public water supplies for the first half of fiscal year 2009 was 50 with an average of 8.3 violations per month. The number of health based violations during the first half of fiscal year 2010 was 49 with a monthly average of 8.2. Progress on goals was slowed by a high turnover in program staff and the need to hold vacant positions open due to fiscal uncertainties. The Department adopted the federal Groundwater Rule by reference on 10/16/2009 and began implementing the rule requirements on 12/01/2009. Work has begun to prepare a state primacy application to be submitted to EPA for approval and be granted full authority for the rule.

LFD Narrative:**Assessment**

On Track. The program is tracking the progress towards these goals. Slight improvement has occurred in the midst of staffing challenges.

Data Relevance

The data provided illustrates the workload changes of the program.

Appropriation

Data regarding appropriations and expenditures was not provided. The cost of this program can be isolated and should be reported. A decision regarding future funding would be difficult to make without this data.

Comments

This report sums up activities and includes comparison of the data to another time period. The legislature is able to determine that minimal progress has been made. The report also indicates the challenges faced by the program in regards to staffing. The legislature may wish to inquire about the current status of staffing issue and whether FY 2011 will produce similar or better results.



Version	Date	Author
5301-50-GI	9/28/10	Smith

Change Description