Action Item	Question	Issues	Options
Consolidation			
Request LFD staff to design Global motion for HB 2 to set agency cost for data center.	Should the legislature incentivize the use of the SMDC through budgetary restrictions?	The SMDC has made considerable progress in transitioning state agencies to SMDC services. However, if an agency does not utilize SMDC, the cost to operate and secure servers is much higher.	+Draft a memo to the LFC requesting that agencies be budgeted for security and server operations at a rate no higher than the cost of utilizing the data center. + Take no action
Request Montana State CIO approach MACO, the League of Cities and Towns and the Office of Public Instruction about possible demonstration project.	Should excess capacity of the SMDC be made available to local governments and school districts?	Limitations imposed by 7-5-2131, MCA requiring the county clerk to keep records "at the office" seem to limit this utilization. If "at the office" is equal to "electronically available", local entities may be able to utilize the SMDC.	+ Request legal interpretation of "at the office" in context of electronic records. +Draft legislation to clarify records can be available electronically. + Take no action
	Should agencies that move IT operations to SMDC receive the benefit of reduced utility costs?	Currently rent and utility costs are charged to agencies in a blended rate. The utility costs are not specific to the agency. When an agency impacts the actual cost of utilities, the savings is recovered by GSD, but the agency rate remains the same. If GSD collects too much, then there is either a "rent holiday" or the rent rate is reduced.	+ Draft a memo to the LFC requesting that the General Government subcommittee consider separating out rent and utility costs. + Draft a memo to the LFC requesting alternative ways to provide an alternative way to address energy costs savings. + Take no action
Budget and funding issues			
Request the Montana State CIO report back to SCEG on the State's experience with the current GIS multi-state procurement.	Does the CIO have adequate statutory authority to collaborate across state lines for procurement of IT related services?	The CIO has the ability to seek multi-state opportunities through the Western State Contracting Alliance (WSCA).	+ Direct an interim committee to follow this project to the end to determine what benefit came to the state. + Take no action
Request the Montana State CIO prepare a plan, including funding recommendations, for future bandwidth needs (including middle mile connectivity) and report back to SCEG at March mtg.	Does the state have a plan to continue to improve the state network?	The plan presented to the SCEG includes utilization of the northern tier netwo improvement of the middle mile and local lo connections. The improvements are to be fund with a combination of a reduction in contr services and rate recovery.	+ Draft a letter to the CIO formally commenting on the plan and the corresponding costs to document committee involvement. +Take no action

SCEG
MAY 14, 2012
EXHIBIT 2

Connectivity			
Action Item	**Question**	**Issues**	**Options**
Request the Montana State CIO work with the Information Technology Managers Council, the Computer Systems Planning Council and Montana Interactive to create a policy or plan for future mobile computing needs. Included in the plan, it is suggested that they develop five governmental functions, including one in the Legislative Branch as demonstrations projects to prove-out the technology and infrastructure support.	Is state government examining and testing the opportunities available to serve Montanans, including state employees, via mobile computing?	As technology advances, the opportunities for state government to utilize mobile computing to enhance state government operations. The key is to identify workable opportunities to implement mobile computing, which cannot be done without a policy framework, plan and potentially funding.	+ The May 14th meeting of the SCEG IT subcommittee includes discussion of this item. + Examine the selected governmental functions for applicability, infrastructure testing and funding needs. Forward funding requests to the LFC to be further analyzed for implementation into HB 2. + Take no action
Request the Montana State CIO prepare a framework for use of cloud computing, and make a recommendation regarding the creation of a state cloud.	How does the cloud fit into the state's IT infrastructure, including SMDC?	There needs to be clear understanding about how the SMDC and procured cloud services are not providing the same services and can work together to provide increase services to state government.	+ The May 14th meeting of the SCEG IT subcommittee includes discussion of this item. + A quick guide to state IT infrastructure could be beneficial to citizens, contractors and state legislators. +Take no action
Request the Montana State CIO and the Records Management Committee develop a plan and report back to SCEG.	Where is state government at in developing a records management and email archival system?	A statewide approach to records management may be the best way to insure records management and email archival are embedded into every day work of the state. This however is a multi-layered expansive project where the roles of the Secretary of State and of the CIO need to be clearly defined. Items to consider would be document capture, management and control.	+ The May 14th meeting of the SCEG IT subcommittee includes discussion of this item. + Develop a resolution to require a plan, including funding requirements to the Legislature via an interim committee by a specific date. +Take no action

Request the Montana State CIO and E Government Committee to research and develop a list of electronic content management tools that can best meet an agency's basic ECM needs.	How does electronic content management apply to MT with a limited records management plan?	ECM tools would assist in implementing records management and archival policy. The procurement of such tools could be done on an enterprise level or at the agency level both of which have pros and cons. However, neither can be analyzed until the state has a records management and email archival plan that meets the needs of state government.	+ The May 14th meeting of the SCEG IT subcommittee includes discussion of this item. +Take no action until such time the state has a plan for records management and email archiving. +Take no action